

## CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

NOV 2 3 2009

Stephon Jellen							
			_			!	

RE: MUR 6192

Madison County Democratic

Central Committee SimmonsCooper LLC Lakin Law Firm P.C.

Dear Mr. Jellen:

On November 13, 2009, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated April 29, 2009 and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by Madison County Democratic Central Committee, SimmonsCooper LLC, and Lakin Law Firm P.C., that there is no reason to believe that Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a), 434(a), 441a(f) or 441b(a), and no reason to believe that SimmonsCooper LLC or Lakin Law Firm P.C. violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a) or 441b(a). Aeeordingly, on November 13, 2009, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, are enclosed.

MUR 6192 Stephen Jellen Page 2

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. Sec 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel

Much all

BY: Mark Allen

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION					
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS					
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENT: Madison County Democratic MUR 6192 Central Committee					
8 9	I. GENERATION OF MATTER					
10	This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election					
11	Commission ("the Commission") by Stephen Jellen. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).					
12	II. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>					
13	The Complaint alleges that the Madison County Democratic Central Committee					
14	("MCDCC" or "the Committee"), a local committee of the Illinois Democratic Party,					
15	failed to register and report to the Commission as a federal political committee during					
16	calendar year 2008 despite exceeding the thresholds in the Federal Election Campaign					
17	Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Complainant alleges, based upon a review of					
18	MCDCC's state disclosure reports, that the Committee exceeded the thresholds when it					
19	made a \$1,000 contribution to a federal eandidate and spent more than \$5,000 on					
20	campaign materials that promoted federal and nonfederal candidates. The Complaint also					
21	alleges that MCDCC accepted excessive and possibly prohibited corporate contributions					
22	from SimmonsCooper LLC and Lakin Law Firm P.C. Finally, the Complaint asserts that					
23	MCDCC accepted numerous other contributions from corporations and labor unions in					
24	violation of the Act.					
25	MCDCC denies any violations of the Act and seeks dismissal of the Complaint.					
26	The available information indicates that MCDCC did not meet any of the Act's political					
27	committee status thresholds requiring registration and reporting as a political committee.					
28	Thus, MCDCC is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions					

MUR 6192 Factual and Legal Analysis (Madison Co. Dem. Central Comm.)

- 1 received. Accordingly, MCDCC did not receive any excessive or impermissible
- 2 corporate or labor organization contributions. Therefore, the Commission finds no
- 3 reason to believe that Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated 2 U.S.C.
- 4 §§ 433(a), 434(a), 441a(f) or 441b(a).

## 5 III. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

## **Political Committee Status** A.

- 6 7 MCDCC is not registered with the Commission. Citing MCDCC's state 8 disclosure reports, Complainant alleges that the Committee contributed \$1,000 to Friends 9 for Daniel Davis, a federal candidate committee, and spent over \$5,000 on "campaign 10 literature, mailings, media advertisements and web pages, as well as rallies, fundraisers 11 and voting promotion of both federal and nonfederal candidates" during 2008. 12 Complaint at 1. Therefore, the Complaint asserts that MCDCC was required to register 13 with the Commission as a political committee. Id. at 1-2. The Act defines "political 14 committee" to include any local committee of a political party that does any of the 15 following during a calendar year: (1) makes more than \$1,000 in contributions or 16 expenditures; (2) receives more than \$5,000 in contributions; or (3) spends more than 17 \$5,000 on exempt party activities. 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(C); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(c). A local 18 party committee that achieves federal political committee status by exceeding the section 19 431(4)(C) threshold must register with the Commission within ten days and begin
- 20 disclosing its financial activities. 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a) and 434(a); 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.1(d)
- 21 and 104.1. As set forth below, the available information does not suggest that MCDCC
- 22 met any political committee status threshold.

MUR 6192 Factual and Legal Analysis (Madison Co. Dem. Central Comm.) Page 3

1 MCDCC acknowledges that it made a single \$1,000 contribution to Friends for 2 Daniel Davis, a federal candidate committee. MCDCC Response at 3. However, 3 MCDCC contends that this amount does not exceed the Aet's threshold for political 4 committee status, and so the Committee was not required to register and file reports as a 5 federal political committee. Id. In addition, MCDCC asserts that Complainant's 6 allegation that the Committee paid for campaign materials promoting federal and 7 nonfederal candidates is vague and unsubstantiated. Id. 8 Registration as a federal political committee is required under the Act when a 9 local party committee makes more than \$1,000 in contributions or expenditures during a 10 calendar year. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(C). MCDCC disclosed a single \$1,000 contribution to a federal candidate, but this contribution did not exceed the \$1,000 threshold for 2008. 11 12 In addition, although MCDCC's disclosure reports reflect numerous disbursements for 13 mailers, election day expenses, and county board literature during 2008, the available 14 information does not indicate that MCDCC met either the \$1,000 expenditure or \$5,000 15 exempt activity thresholds for political committee status. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(C). 16 Complainant did not provide copies of any communications sponsored by MCDCC and 17 the Commission's review of other available information does not reveal any such 18 communications. Accordingly, the Commission finds no reason to believe that the 19 Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a) or 434(a). 20 See MUR 4960 (Hillary Rodham Clinton for U.S. Senate Exploratory Committee, Inc.) 21 Statement of Reasons (speculative information absent personal knowledge is insufficient 22 to meet the threshold for "reason to believe").

2

12

13

14

15

MUR 6192 Factual and Legal Analysis (Madison Co. Dem. Central Comm.) Page 4

## B. Alleged Excessive and Impermissible Contributions

3 Committee received excessive and possibly prohibited contributions from 4 SimmonsCooper LLC ("SimmonsCooper") and Lakin Law Firm P.C ("LLF"), 5 Complaint at 2. The Complaint further notes that the Committee disclosed "contributions 6 by many other corporations and labor unions." Id. The Committee's disclosure reports 7 reflect that MCDCC received contributions from SimmonsCooper during the calendar 8 year 2008 in the amount of \$50,800 and received two monetary contributions and an in-9 kind contribution from LLF, totaling \$10,594. Complaint Exhibit 1 and 2. The available 10 information does not suggest that the contributions made by SimmonsCooper and LLF 11 were intended for non-federal activities. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A) (the Act defines

Citing MCDCC's state disclosure reports, Complainant alleges that the

MCDCC did not meet any of the Act's thresholds for political committee status, the

Committee is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions

"contribution" to include "anything of value made by any person for the purpose of

influencing any election for Federal office"). In view of the conclusion above that

- 16 received. Accordingly, the contributions that MCDCC received from Simmons Cooper,
- 17 LLF, and the various other corporations and lahor organizations do not appear to be
- subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions. Because the available information does not
- 19 indicate that MCDCC accepted excessive or corporate contributions, the Commission
- 20 finds no reason to believe that Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated
- 21 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) or 441b(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Illinois statute 10 ILCS 5/9-1 et. seq. (2008) permits candidates for state and local office to raise money from individuals, partnerships, and corporations without limits or restrictions on the amounts of such contributions.

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION					
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS					
4 5 6 7	RESPONE	ENT:	SimmonsCooper LLC	MUR	6192	
8	I. <u>GE</u>	NERATI	ION OF MATTER			
9 10	This	matter v	vas generated by a Complain	t filed with the Feder	al Election	
11	Commission ("the Commission") by Stephen Jellen. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).					
12	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS					
13	The	Complai	nt alleges that SimmonsCoop	er LLC ("Simmons	Cooper") made an	
14	exeessive and possibly prohibited corporate contribution to the Madison County					
15	Democratic Central Committee ("MCDCC" or "the Committee"), a local party					
16	committee of the Illinois Democratie Party, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign					
17	Act of 1971, as amended ("the Aet"). SimmonsCooper acknowledges making a					
18	contribution to MCDCC during the calendar year 2008 in the amount of \$50,800.					
19	SimmonsCooper Response at 1. SimmonsCooper contends that its contribution was					
20	intended for non-federal activities, see SimmonsCooper Response at 1, and the available					
21	information does not suggest otherwise. See 2 U.S.C. § 43!(8)(A) (the Act defines					
22	"contribution" to include "anything of value made by any person for the purpose of					
23	influencing any election for Federal office"). Based upon the available information,					
24	MCDCC did not meet any of the Act's thresholds for political committee status and thus					
25	the Committee is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions					
26	received. Accordingly, the contributions made by SimmonsCooper do not appear to be					

MUR 6192 Factual and Legal Analysis (SimmonsCooper LLC) Page 2

- subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions. Because the available information does not
- 2 indicate that SimmonsCooper made an excessive or corporate contribution, the
- 3 Commission finds no reason to believe that SimmonsCooper LLC violated 2 U.S.C.
- 4 §§ 441a(a) or 441b(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Illinois stutute 10 ILCS 5/9-1 et. seq. (2008) permits candidates for state and local office to raise money from individuals, partnerships, and corporations without limits or restrictions on the amounts of such contributions.

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION					
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS					
<b>4 5</b>	RESPONDENT:	Lakin Law Firm, P.C.	MUR 6192			
6 7 8 9 10		ON OF MATTER vas generated by a Complaint fi	led with the Federal Election			
11	Commission ("the C	ommission") by Stephen Jellen.	See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).			
12	II. FACTUAL	AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
13	The Complain	nt alleges that Lakin Law Firm	P.C. ("LLF") made excessive and			
14	possibly prohibited eorporate contributions to the Madison County Democratic Central					
15	Committee ("MCDCC" or "the Committee"), a local party committee of the Illinois					
16	Democratic Party, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as					
17	amended ("the Act"). LLF acknowledges that it made two monetary contributions and an					
18	in-kind contribution to MCDCC, totaling \$10,594. LLF Response at 2. LLF contends					
19	that its contributions were intended for non-federal activities, see LLF Response at 2, and					
20	the available information does not suggest otherwise. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A) (the Act					
21	defines "eontribution" to include "anything of value made by any person for the purpose					
22	of influencing any election for Federal office"). Based upon the available information,					
23	MCDCC did not meet any of the Aet's thresholds for political committee status and thus					
24	the Committee is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions					
25	received. Accordingly, the contributions made by LLF do not appear to be subject to the					
26	Act's limits and prohibitions. Because the available information does not indicate that					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Illinois statute 10 ILCS 5/9-1 et. seq. (2008) permits candidates for state and local office to raise money from individuals, partnerships, and corporations without limits or restrictions on the amounts of such contributions.

MUR 6192
Factual and Legal Analysis (Lakin Law Firm P.C.)
Page 2

- 1 LLF made excessive or corporate contributions, the Commission finds no reason to
- 2 believe that the Lakin Law Firm, P.C. violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a) or 441b(a).